## 20CP Appendix C: Antidegradation Checklist

For any portion of your construction site that is located within a watershed that is identified by the Department<sup>1</sup> or the EPA, as a Tier II for antidegradation purposes, you must provide information for the Department to perform an antidegradation review (COMAR 26.08.02.04-1). This Antidegradation Checklist <sup>2</sup> should be used when working with the Department on your antidegradation review and provides information related to your selection of appropriate stormwater controls to protect these water resources. **This checklist must be signed in accordance with Part II.A.8 and provided with your NOI.** Additional controls selected, the delineation of the Stream Protection Zone boundary and the location of buffers shall be clearly marked on the erosion and sediment control (E&SC) plan and approved by the appropriate approval authority pursuant to COMAR 26.17.01.

Project Name: Pittsville Fo	ord - Pro Elite			
General Permit Number (MD): OR, if not available,		_ OR, if not available,		
County ESC Plan Identifier:				
County: Wicomico	Site Map # & 41	_ Parcel #_1033 & 184		
Signature: Round Raun	D	ate Complete: <u>10/3/2024</u>		
Name and Title: Robert D	. Rauch - Owner of RAUCH	inc.		

Do all Tier II watersheds impacted by the proposed activity HAVE assimilative capacity? <sup>1</sup> If the proposed construction activity is within a watershed which doesn't have assimilative capacity, you will need to consult with the Department's Tier II staff (https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/Water/TMDL/WaterQualityStandards/Pages/Antide gradation_Policy.aspx) on available options for the site and list the outcomes of that discussion here. Comments:	Yes/No
Has this project completed, or is currently undergoing, a Tier II Review?         If yes, please provide the information listed below:         If the approval requires any additional, special conditions;         For what permits has the review been completed;         When was the review completed or initiated; and         Was the project was submitted under any other names for the Tier II Review.         Comments:	Yes/No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Use the interactive Tier II webmap located at: <u>https://mdewwp.page.link/Tier2Map</u> to assist you. On the map, Tier II watersheds colored orange have NO assimilative capacity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alternative forms may be approved by the Department, if they contain the information in this checklist.

Were any waivers granted by the Approval Authority for stormwater controls for this project? For projects in Tier II watersheds, waivers need to be fully justified in light of the potential to impact water quality. A waiver that was granted that could lead to degradation would require modeling or other evidence that the lack of stormwater controls will not impact the receiving waters.	Yes/No
<ul> <li>Will the site Meet the following Stabilization Criteria?</li> <li>After initial soil disturbance or redisturbance, permanent (2011 ESC Handbook Section B-4-5) or temporary (2011 ESC Handbook Section B-4-4) stabilization is required within: <ol> <li>Three (3) calendar days as to the surface of all perimeter controls, dikes, swales, ditches, perimeter slopes, and all slopes steeper than 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3:1); and</li> <li>Seven (7) calendar days as to all other disturbed areas on the project site except for those areas under active grading.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Yes
Will Increased Inspection Frequency for earth disturbing activity within a Tier II Watershed be conducted? For any portion of the site that discharges to a water that is identified by the Department as Tier II for antidegradation purposes, you must conduct inspections in accordance with the following inspection frequencies: Once every four (4) calendar days.	Yes/No
Will Stockpiles be located outside the Stream Protection Zone? For stockpiles or land clearing debris piles composed, in whole or in part, of sediment and/or soil (2011 ESC Handbook Section B-4-8), locate the piles outside of any Stream Protection Zones.	Yes/No
Were there any exemptions to the requirements for Protections in the Stream Protection Zone below? Note: The list of potential exemptions are listed at the end of this checklist. If exemptions were applicable they must be noted here.	Yes /No
Have you Verified your Stream Protection Zone Considerations below? All additional controls selected in Stream Protection Zone Alternative 2, to meet the Stream Protection Zone Considerations below shall be clearly marked on the erosion and sediment control (E&SC) plan and approved by the appropriate approval authority pursuant to COMAR 26.17.01. You are required to document in your E&SC plan where the natural buffer width that is retained (where you are implementing alternative 1 below) and you must document the reduced width of the buffer you will be retaining and document the additional erosion and sediment controls you will use (where you will be implementing alternative 2 below).	Yes/No

	the site follow Stream Protection Zone Alternative 1? Provide and maintain	Yes No
<u></u>	an undisturbed natural buffer within the Stream Protection Zone (an average of 100 feet from edge of stream).	
Comn	nents:	
 Will t	the site follow Stream Protection Zone Alternative 2? Provide and maintain	Yes/No
	an undisturbed natural buffer that is less than an average of 100 feet and is supplemented by additional erosion and sediment controls. The acceptable additional erosion and sediment controls include, but are not limited to, those listed in the 2011 ESC Handbook. Those controls are accelerated stabilization, redundant controls, upgraded controls, passive or active chemical treatment, or a reduction in the size of the grading unit. These options are provided below, which are the controls that must be considered and, once selected, implemented when construction activity occurs within these Stream Protection Zones. The local approval authorities may provide additional options that provide similar protection. Check each that apply below.	
Ø	<ul> <li>a: Accelerated Stabilization Requirements         <ul> <li>Earth disturbance must be stabilized as soon as possible and as dictated by th approved plan (e.g., seed and mulch, soil stabilization matting, rip rap, sod, pather a minimum, all perimeter controls (e.g., earth dikes, sediment traps slopes steeper than 3:1 require stabilization within three calendar day other disturbed areas within seven calendar days             <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	avement): ) and s and all
Comn	nents:	
0	<ul> <li>b: Redundant Controls</li> <li>When using redundant controls, the runoff must pass through two sediment of devices in series. The following are examples of possible combinations: <ul> <li>When dewatering sump areas, sediment traps, or sediment basins, dissediment laden water first to a portable sediment tank and then a filter</li> <li>Install parallel rows of a perimeter filtering control or a combination the sediment filtering control or a combinat</li></ul></li></ul>	scharge er bag

## Appendix C: Page 4 of 5

	silt fence, super silt fence, and filter logs (e.g., two rows of parallel silt fence or a row of filter log parallel to a row of super silt fence)
	Tow of filter log parallel to a row of super sit felice)
Com	ments:
0	c: Upgrade Controls
	The following are examples of possible upgrades:
	<ul> <li>Upgrade from silt fence to super silt fence</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Upgrade from a temporary stone outlet structure to a temporary gabion outlet structure</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Upgrade all sediment traps and basins to control additional storage volume; increase the required storage volume from 3,600 cubic feet/acre to 5,400 cubic feet/acre</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Upgrade standard inlet protection type A to type B and upgrade at grade inlet protection to gabion inlet protection</li> </ul>
Com	ments:
0	d: Passive or Active Chemical Treatment
•	Based on the soil type, chemical treatment may be necessary to control turbidity. The
	use of chemical additives requires permit coverage and considerations related to
	potential aquatic toxicity. <u>https://mdewwp.page.link/ChemAddReview.</u>
Com	ments:
0	e: Reduction in the Size of the Grading Unit
	<ul> <li>Require grading unit limitations to 10 acres of earth disturbance inside the</li> </ul>
	Stream Protection Zone
	• Require grading unit limitations to 20 acres for any earth disturbance that is
	adjacent to and contiguous with earth disturbances inside the Stream Protection
	Zone
Com	ments:
0	f: Prerogative of Approval Authorities
	The additional controls described above for projects in Stream Protection Zones are
	examples of accelerated stabilization, redundant controls, upgraded controls, passive or
	active chemical treatment, or a reduction in the size of the grading unit. Approval
	authorities may use these examples as a guide when approving projects, but may also
	apply further erosion and sediment control measures based on local site conditions,
	local regulations/ordinances, and best professional judgement.
~	
Com	ments:

## Exemptions to the requirements for Protections in the Stream Protection Zone:

The following disturbances within the Stream Protection Zone are exempt from the requirements of this guidance:

- Construction approved under a CWA Section 404 permit; or Construction of a water-dependent structure or water access areas (e.g., pier, boat ramp, trail).
- If there is no discharge of stormwater to Waters of this State through the area between the disturbed portions of the site and receiving waters, you are not required to comply with the requirements in this guidance. This includes situations where you have implemented controls measures, such as a berm or other barrier, which will prevent such discharges.
- Where no natural buffer exists due to preexisting development disturbances (e.g., structures, impervious surfaces) that occurred prior to the initiation of planning for the current development of the site, you are not required to comply with the requirements in this guidance.
  - Where some natural buffer exists but portions of the area within the Stream Protection Zone are occupied by preexisting development disturbances, you <u>are</u> required to comply with the requirements in this guidance. Clarity about how to implement the Stream Protection Zone alternatives for these situations is provided upon request from the Department.
- For "linear construction sites", you are not required to comply with this requirement if site constraints (e.g., limited right-of-way) make it infeasible to implement one of the above Stream Protection Zone alternatives, provided that, to the extent feasible, you limit disturbances within the Stream Protection Zone. You must also document in the Checklist your rationale for why it is infeasible for you to implement one of the above Stream Protection Zone alternatives, and describe any buffer width retained and supplemental erosion and sediment controls installed.